WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

Date: 8/13/34 Inspector: Kyle EACKStrom				
Time: 10:30 A Weather Conditions: Mostly Surry 76				
		Yes	Wo	Notes
CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)				
1;	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CCR Fug	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	$\dot{\lambda}$		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	4	×	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	in migrakomanini kilin dina di di	λ	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		X	The state of the s
9.,	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		S. A.H. C. W. 19
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	son was jegge and	X	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X	

Additional Notes: